1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. No. CR 08-0574 MHP 5 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER LUDING TIME UNDER THE 6 EDY TRIAL ACT FROM v. **DECEMBER 15, 2008, TO** 7 ANGELICA SAGOTE, SEFO SAGOTE, **FEBRUARY 26, 2009** ELISARA TAITO, and DONNISHA 8 Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel HARRELL, 9 Defendants. 10 The Court enters this Order documenting the exclusion of time under the Speedy 11 Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for defendants ANGELICA SAGOTE, SEFO SAGOTE, 12 ELISARA TAITO, and DONNISHA HARRELL, from December 15, 2008, to 13 February 26, 2009. 14 On December 15, 2008, ANGELICA SAGOTE, SEFO SAGOTE, and ELISARA 15 TAITO each with their counsel, counsel for DONNISHA HARRELL, and government 16 counsel, appeared before United States District Court Judge Marilyn Hall Patel. At the 17 hearing, counsel for ANGELICA SAGOTE moved for a continuance of the trial date 18 from February 3, 2009, to March 10, 2009, which was granted for good cause shown. 19 The basis for the continuance was that counsel for ANGELICA SAGOTE is expected to 20 be unavailable through early February 2009 due to an ongoing trial in state court. 21 ANGELICA SAGOTE also moved for an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial 22 Act from December 15 to February 26, 2009, and the Court ordered time excluded under 23 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) on the basis that denying the request would deny counsel 24 for the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and continuity of 25 counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government consented to 26 the request. Defendant HARRELL'S counsel objected to an exclusion of time under the 27 28 PROPOSED ORDER

CR 08-0574 MHP

## Case 3:08-cr-00574-MHP Document 78 Filed 12/22/08 Page 2 of 2

Speedy Trial Act. The Court finds, however, that Harrell is joined for trial with codefendants as to whom the time for trial has not run, no motion for severance has been granted, and the period of delay is reasonable. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7). In addition, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

Accordingly, it is ordered that the time from December 15, 2008, to February 26, 2009, is excluded for all defendants under the Speedy Trial Act.

Date: 12/19 \_\_\_\_\_, 2008



PROPOSED ORDER CR 08-0574 MHP